Professional Agreements

■ There are six international agreements governing mutual recognition of engineering qualifications and professional competence. In each of these agreements countries/economies who wish to participate may apply for membership, and if accepted become members or signatories to the agreement. In broad principle, each country/economy must meet its own costs, and the body making application must verify that it is the appropriate representative body for that country/economy.

Agreements covering tertiary qualifications in engineering

- There are three agreements covering mutual recognition in respect of tertiary-level qualifications in engineering:
- The Washington Accord signed in 1989 was the first it recognises substantial equivalence in the accreditation of qualifications in professional engineering, normally of four years duration.
- <u>The Sydney Accord</u> commenced in 2001 and recognises substantial equivalence in the accreditation of qualifications in engineering technology, normally of three years duration.
- <u>The Dublin Accord</u> is an agreement for substantial equivalence in the accreditation of tertiary qualifications in technician engineering, normally of **two years duration**. It commenced in 2002.

Agreements covering competence standards for practising engineers

■ The other three agreements cover recognition of equivalence at the practising engineer level i.e. it is individual people, not qualifications that are seen to meet the benchmark standard. The concept of these agreements is that a person recognised in one country as reaching the agreed international standard of competence should only be minimally assessed (primarily for local knowledge) prior to obtaining registration in another country that is party to the agreement.

■ The oldest such agreement is the <u>APEC Engineer</u> <u>agreement</u> which commenced in 1999. This has Government support in the participating APEC economies. The representative organization in each economy creates a "register" of those engineers wishing to be recognised as meeting the generic international standard. Other economies should give credit when such an engineer seeks to have his or her competence recognised. The Agreement is largely administered between engineering bodies, but there can be Government representation and substantive changes need to be signed off at governmental APEC Agreement level.

- The Engineers Mobility Forum agreement commenced in 2001. It operates the same competence standard as the APEC Engineer agreement but any country/economy may join. The parties to the agreement are largely engineering bodies. There are intentions to draw EMF and APEC closer together.
- The <u>Engineering Technologist Mobility Forum</u> <u>agreement</u> was signed by participating economies/countries in 2003. The parties to the Agreement have agreed to commence establishing a mutual recognition scheme for engineering technologists.

SARTOR

- SARTOR stands for Standards And Routes TO Registration. This was the ECUK policy document which specified the Standards required for registration as:
- Chartered Engineer (CEng)
- Incorporated Engineer (IEng)
- Engineering Technician (EngTech)
- SARTOR is compatible with UK-SPEC .
- The Third Edition of SARTOR was published in September 1997 and implemented from September .1999
- The Executive Summary gives information about the major changes from the previous edition (SARTOR .(1990
- SARTOR 3rd Edition 1997 comprises Part 1, which is a printed and bound 24 page A4 Book, and Part 2. Part 1 sets out the fundamental principles; Part 2 comprises the 'implementing regulations' in separate, loose-leaf sections for CEng, IEng and EngTech respectively. Part 2 is updated as necessary.